

Imagery Product User Questionnaire

General

1. How valuable do you consider imagery as a source of intelligence information? What reliance do you place on it?
2. How do you use intelligence derived from imagery? (i.e., forces monitoring, following technological or weapons developments, production estimates, economic assessments, current intelligence, strategic warning)
3. What organizations provide you with imagery-derived intelligence? (i.e., current intelligence from NPIC, detailed indepth intelligence from departmental organization - be specific)
4. In what form do you receive this intelligence? (i.e., typescript memos, hard-copy reports, cables, computer printouts)
5. In what manner is this information distributed to you? (i.e., in response to direct requirements, through normal distribution, through queries in document references)
6. How strongly do you feel you need a departmental imagery analysis organization dedicated to supporting your organization's production program?

Evaluation of Imagery Derived Intelligence

7. Does your office receive cables generated by the National Center? (i.e., OAK, SPOT SUMMARY, IPIR/SUPIR) If yes, how do you evaluate them in terms of quality, completeness, timeliness, pertinence? What is your opinion of the way the information is presented (WIPIR/ SUPIR format)?
8. Does your office use the national Installation Data File? If yes, how do you evaluate it in terms of quality, completeness, timeliness, readability)? If not, why not? Do you have any particular problems in using it? (i.e., not accessible, querying problems)
9. How do you evaluate departmental imagery-derived intelligence you receive in terms of quality, completeness, timeliness, pertinence? What is your opinion on the way the information is presented?
10. How do you view the quality and pertinence of reports produced under the Basic Reports Program? (i.e., Basic Imagery Interpretation Reports, Briefs)

The Requirements Process

11. Are you satisfied with the present division of imagery analysis responsibilities? That is, the National Center does first and second phase reporting for the Community and Agencies rely on their departmental imagery analysis organizations for third phase imagery-derived intelligence. Do you abide by this arrangement?
12. How do you express your needs for imagery-derived intelligence?
13. What is your evaluation of how the mechanisms work in terms of your office receiving what it needs?
14. Does your office have imagery analysts, or analysts with exploitation equipment who do some imagery analysis? If yes, explain why. Could these needs be met by somehow changing the responsiveness of your Agency's departmental component? Explain.

The Future

15. Do you envision your future use of imagery-derived intelligence to increase, decrease, or stay about the same?
16. Do you expect the type of information you require to change? (i.e., from installations and activity description to more analytical or problem-oriented tasks; from order of battle monitoring to force readiness or military production problems)

Other

17. What problems, not described above, do you have with the way the imagery analysis organization structure and mechanism operate today?
18. What recommendations would you make to change it?